

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/27/2001	Claudio Desanti	112025-0461	2884
90 09/06/2005		EXAMINER	
) MCKENNA, LLP		KHOO, FOONG LIN	
		ARTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER
02210	,	2664	FAFER NUMBER
	09/27/2001	09/27/2001 Claudio Desanti 590 09/06/2005 D MCKENNA, LLP LCON AVENUE	09/27/2001 Claudio Desanti 112025-0461 690 09/06/2005 EXAM D MCKENNA, LLP LCON AVENUE 02210 ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(A:	_
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	09/964,702	DESANTI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	F. Lin Khoo	2664	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		IONTUKO) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	7 September 2001.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	·	·	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9,11-14,17-21,23-26 and 29</u>			
7) Claim(s) <u>4,5,15,16,22,27 and 28</u> is/are objection on			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	a/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to		·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	,		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	a Office Action of form P1O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a		received	
See the attached detailed Office action for a	hist of the certified copies hot	received.	
	•		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. 		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/28/03</u> .	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/964,702 Page 2

Art Unit: 2664

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 3,10, 20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "at least substantial part" in claims 3, 10, 20 and 25 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "at least substantial part" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The claim reciting "the received packet complies in at least substantial part with version 6 of the Internet Protocol (IPv6)" does not provide an exact claim limitation of IPv6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1, 3, 6, 11 – 14, 17, 23, 24, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Varghese et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,560,236).

Regarding Claim 1, Varghese et al. discloses a method for use by an intermediate network device (Fig. 2, element 112, element 110) having a plurality of interfaces (Fig. 2, ports 8, 12, 9, 15, 17, 6, 23, 19) for forwarding network packets among the interfaces, one or more of the interfaces being associated with one or more Virtual Local Area Network (VLAN) designations (Fig. 2, Vlan 1, Vlan 2), the method comprising the steps of:

mapping each VLAN designation to a site identifier (Fig. 3 (step 130), col 4, line 59 to col 5, line 6; VLAN 1 is the VLAN designation mapped into site identifier VlanId: 1); receiving on an inbound interface a packet having a site-local unicast destination address (col 3, lines 3 - 9; The receipt of unicast packet with a destination address is equivalent to receiving on an inbound interface a packet having a site-local unicast destination address);

identifying the VLAN designation associated with the received packet (Fig. 3 (steps 130, 132, 134 136), col 4 line 65 to col 5 line 36; The steps 130 –136 create a correspondence to links 6, 17, 19, 23 with Vlan 1 and these steps are associated with identifying the VLAN designation associated with the received packet); utilizing the identified VLAN designation to retrieve the site identifier to which the VLAN designation is mapped (Fig. 3 (step 130), col 4, line 59 to col 5, line 6; VLAN 1 is the

VLAN designation mapped into site identifier VlanId: 1. Utilizing the mapping of VLAN designation (VLAN 1) to site identifier VlanId: 1 in step 130, the identified VLAN designation to retrieve the site identifier is performed); creating a modified destination address by embedding the retrieved site identifier into the site-local unicast destination address (col 5, line 58 to col 6, line 23. The VlanId is embedded in a packet by encoding VlanId field in some redundant field in P (data packet) that contains redundant information without the addition of headers to the original packet is equivalent to creating a modified destination address by embedding the retrieved site identifier into the site-local unicast destination address); and rendering a forwarding decision for the received packet based on the modified destination address (col 3, lines 2 - 9).

Regarding Claim 3, Varghese et al. discloses wherein the step of rendering a forwarding decision comprises the step of deciding upon an outbound interface from which the packet is to be forwarded (col 3, lines 2-9).

Regarding Claim 6, Varghese et al. discloses wherein the step of rendering comprises the step of applying the modified destination address to a forwarding information base (FIB) optimized to permit fast lookups (Fig. 4, element 146; col 8, lines 7 - 21).

Application/Control Number: 09/964,702

Art Unit: 2664

Regarding Claim 11, Varghese et al. discloses whereby each VLAN designation is mapped to a single site identifier (Fig. 3 (step 130), col 4, line 59 to col 5, line 6; VLAN 1 is the VLAN designation mapped into site identifier VlanId: 1)

Regarding Claim 12, Varghese et al. discloses whereby a plurality of VLAN designations are mapped to the same site identifier (Fig. 3 (step 130 and step 136), col 4, line 59 to col 5, line 6; col 5, line 29 – 35. VLAN 1 and VLAN FOO are mapped to same VlanId: 1 (same site identifier)).

Regarding Claim 13, Varghese et al. discloses wherein packets may be one of either untagged or tagged with a VLAN designation, and the step of identifying includes either, if the received packet is untagged, determining the VLAN designation of the inbound interface on which the untagged packet was received or, if the received packet is tagged, determining the VLAN designation with which the received packet is tagged (col 7, lines 20 – 35; col 7 line 64 – col 8 line 6. Packets with VlanId field (tagged) is decoded to yield the Vlan the packet was sent on. Packets without VlanId (untagged) uses a Source Vlan Table that associates source addresses with Vlans).

Regarding Claim 14, Varghese et al. discloses a method for use by an intermediate network device (Fig. 2, element 112, element 110) having a plurality of interfaces (Fig. 2, ports 8, 12, 9, 15, 17, 6, 23, 19) for forwarding network packets among the interfaces, one or more of the interfaces being associated with one or more Virtual

Local Area Network (VLAN) designations (Fig. 2, Vlan 1, Vlan 2), the method comprising the steps of:

mapping each VLAN designation to a site identifier ((Fig. 3 (step 130), col 4, line 59 to col 5, line 6; VLAN 1 is the VLAN designation mapped into site identifier VlanId: 1); receiving on an inbound interface a packet having a site-local unicast destination address (col 3, lines 3 - 9; The receipt of unicast packet with a destination address is equivalent to receiving on an inbound interface a packet having a site-local unicast destination address);

identifying the VLAN designation associated with the received packet (Fig. 3 (steps 130, 132, 134 136), col 4 line 65 to col 5 line 36; The steps 130 –136 create a correspondence to links 6, 17, 19, 23 with Vlan 1 and these steps are associated with identifying the VLAN designation associated with the received packet); and utilizing the identified VLAN designation to retrieve the site identifier to which the VLAN designation is mapped (Fig. 3 (step 130), col 4, line 59 to col 5, line 6; VLAN 1 is the VLAN designation mapped into site identifier VlanId: 1. Utilizing the mapping of VLAN designation (VLAN 1) to site identifier VlanId: 1 in step 130, the identified VLAN designation to retrieve the site identifier is performed).

Regarding Claim 17, Varghese et al. discloses an intermediate network device (Fig 5, elements 150 and 152) for forwarding packets within a computer network, the device comprising:

a plurality of interfaces for receiving and forwarding packets, one or more of the interfaces associated with one or more virtual local area network (VLAN) designations (Fig. 5, element VLAN 1....VLAN m, col 8, line 50 to col 9, line 12); a forwarding information base (FIB) for storing routing information (Fig. 5, element 172, col 9, lines 50 – 55);

a routing engine in communicating relationship with the FIB, the routing engine configured to make forwarding decisions for received packets, based at least in part on the routing information in the FIB (Fig. 5 element 166, col 9, lines 31 – 56); and a memory in communicating relationship with the routing engine, the memory configured to store the VLAN designations associated with the device's interfaces in mapping relationship with one or more site identifiers (Fig. 6 (data structures stored in memory), elements 200 and 210; col 10, line 30 to col 12, line 26), wherein the routing engine utilizes the memory to ensure that a packet having a site-local unicast source and/or destination address is only forwarded between interfaces corresponding to the same site identifier (col 2, lines 1-13. Any communications received at a first bridge port are directly sent by the bridge to another bridge port only if the other bridge port and the first bridge port are part of the same group (same VlanId equivalent to same site identifier) is associated with a packet having a site-local unicast source and/or destination address only forwarded between interfaces corresponding to the same site identifier).

Regarding Claim 23, Varghese et al. discloses wherein the plurality of interfaces are located at one or more line cards disposed at the intermediate network device (Fig. 2, ports 8, 12, 9, 15, 17, 6, 23, 19. See Abstract. The device including a bridge having a plurality of ports through which network communications pass to and from the bridge), and each line card includes a corresponding FIB and routing engine for rending forwarding decisions (Fig. 5, elements 172 (forwarding database) and 166 (bridge forwarding equivalent to routing engine); col 9, lines 50 – 55; col 9, lines 31 – 56).

Regarding Claim 24, Varghese et al. discloses a method for use by an intermediate network device (Fig. 2, element 112, element 110) having a plurality of interfaces (Fig. 2, ports 8, 12, 9, 15, 17, 6, 23, 19) for forwarding network packets among the interfaces, one or more of the interfaces being associated with one or more Virtual Local Area Network (VLAN) designations (Fig. 2, Vlan 1, Vlan 2), the method comprising the steps of:

receiving on an inbound interface a packet having a link-local unicast destination address (col 3, lines 3 – 9. The receipt of unicast packet with a destination address is equivalent to receiving on an inbound interface a packet having a link-local unicast destination address. The packet P sent to the router can have a VlanId field. See col 6, lines 61- 65 associated with a unicast packet);

identifying the VLAN designation associated with the received packet (Fig. 3 (steps 130, 132, 134 136), col 4 line 65 to col 5 line 36; The steps 130 –136 create a

correspondence to links 6, 17, 19, 23 with Vlan 1 and these steps are associated with identifying the VLAN designation associated with the received packet); creating a modified destination address by embedding the identified VLAN designation into the link-local unicast destination address (col 5, line 58 to col 6, line 23. The VlanId is embedded in a packet by encoding VlanId field in some redundant field in P (data packet) that contains redundant information without the addition of headers to the original packet is equivalent to creating a modified destination address by embedding the retrieved site identifier into the site-local unicast destination address); and rendering a forwarding decision for the received packet based on the modified destination address (col 3, lines 2 - 9).

Regarding Claim 29, Varghese et al. discloses wherein packets may be one of either untagged or tagged with a VLAN designation, and the step of identifying includes either, if the received packet is untagged, determining the VLAN designation of the inbound interface on which the untagged packet was received or, if the received packet is tagged, determining the VLAN designation with which the received packet is tagged (col 7, lines 20 – 35; col 7 line 64 – col 8 line 6. Packets with VlanId field (tagged) is decoded to yield the Vlan the packet was sent on. Packets without VlanId (untagged) uses a Source Vlan Table that associates source addresses with Vlans).

Application/Control Number: 09/964,702 Page 10

Art Unit: 2664

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 20, 21, 25, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Varghese et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,560,236) in view of Flanders et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,172,980).

Regarding Claim 2, Varghese et al. discloses all the limitations of claim 1 except for wherein the received packet complies in at least substantial part with version 6 of the Internet Protocol (IPv6). Flanders et al. discloses the received packet complies in at least substantial part with version 6 of the Internet Protocol (IPv6). A Receive Header Processor (RHP) (Fig. 2 element 46) analyzes the destination address of the received data unit, in hardware, for determining if routing or bridging is required. If routing is required, the RHP uses portions of the received data unit header as a compare value against predefined values stored in data structures which provide a protocol ID identifying the protocol of the received data unit and serving as an index to the appropriate microcode handling routine, executed by the RHP, for the data unit. The handling routine causes the RHP to forward data unit identifying information appropriate to the identified protocol and obtained from the received data unit to further hardware-based data unit processing elements (ACA (Address Cache ASIC) (Fig. 2, element 26)).

The data unit processing elements are adaptable to the received data unit cast state (e.g. unicast, multicast, broadcast), bridging and/or routing requirements, and received data unit protocol (Abstract). The RHP to ACA interface supports IPv6 as specified in the field description (Fig. 8, col 7, lines 35 - 50). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the RHP and ACA interface as taught by Flanders et al. into the system of Varghese et al. to reflect a new layer-2 destination address, protocol ID, Address Cache lookup status, destination address masks, and other information for routing (col 1, line 65 to col 2 line 1).

Regarding Claim 20, Varghese et al. discloses all the limitations of claim 17 except wherein at least some of the packets forwarded by the device comply in at least substantial part with version 6 of the Internet Protocol (IPv6). Flanders et al. discloses the received packet complies in at least substantial part with version 6 of the Internet Protocol (IPv6). A Receive Header Processor (RHP) (Fig. 2 element 46) analyzes the destination address of the received data unit, in hardware, for determining if routing or bridging is required. If routing is required, the RHP uses portions of the received data unit header as a compare value against predefined values stored in data structures which provide a protocol ID identifying the protocol of the received data unit and serving as an index to the appropriate microcode handling routine, executed by the RHP, for the data unit. The handling routine causes the RHP to forward data unit identifying information appropriate to the identified protocol and obtained from the received data unit to further hardware-based data unit processing elements (ACA (Address Cache

ASIC) (Fig. 2, element 26)). The data unit processing elements are adaptable to the received data unit cast state (e.g. unicast, multicast, broadcast), bridging and/or routing requirements, and received data unit protocol (Abstract). The RHP to ACA interface supports IPv6 as specified in the field description (Fig. 8, col 7, lines 35 - 50). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the RHP and ACA interface as taught by Flanders et al. into the system of Varghese et al. to reflect a new layer-2 destination address, protocol ID, Address Cache lookup status, destination address masks, and other information for routing (col 1, line 65 to col 2 line 1).

Page 12

Regarding Claim 21, Varghese et al. discloses wherein the routing engine: identifies the VLAN designation associated with the received packet (Fig. 3 (steps 130, 132, 134 136), col 4 line 65 to col 5 line 36; The steps 130 –136 create a correspondence to links 6, 17, 19, 23 with Vlan 1 and these steps are associated with identifying the VLAN designation associated with the received packet), utilizes the identified VLAN designation to retrieve the site identifier to which the VLAN designation is mapped (Fig. 3 (step 130), col 4, line 59 to col 5, line 6; VLAN 1 is the VLAN designation mapped into site identifier VlanId: 1. Utilizing the mapping of VLAN designation (VLAN 1) to site identifier VlanId: 1 in step 130, the identified VLAN designation to retrieve the site identifier is performed); creates a modified destination address by embedding the retrieved site identifier into the site-local unicast destination address (col 5, line 58 to col 6, line 23. The VlanId is

embedded in a packet by encoding VlanId field in some redundant field in P (data packet) that contains redundant information without the addition of headers to the original packet is equivalent to creating a modified destination address by embedding the retrieved site identifier into the site-local unicast destination address), and renders a forwarding decision for the received packet based on the modified destination address (col 3, lines 2 - 9).

Page 13

Regarding Claim 25, Varghese et al. discloses all the limitations of claim 24 except for wherein the received packet complies in at least substantial part with version 6 of the Internet Protocol (IPv6). Flanders et al. discloses the received packet complies in at least substantial part with version 6 of the Internet Protocol (IPv6). A Receive Header Processor (RHP) (Fig. 2 element 46) analyzes the destination address of the received data unit, in hardware, for determining if routing or bridging is required. If routing is required, the RHP uses portions of the received data unit header as a compare value against predefined values stored in data structures which provide a protocol ID identifying the protocol of the received data unit and serving as an index to the appropriate microcode handling routine, executed by the RHP, for the data unit. The handling routine causes the RHP to forward data unit identifying information appropriate to the identified protocol and obtained from the received data unit to further hardwarebased data unit processing elements (ACA (Address Cache ASIC) (Fig. 2, element 26)). The data unit processing elements are adaptable to the received data unit cast state (e.g. unicast, multicast, broadcast), bridging and/or routing requirements, and received

data unit protocol (Abstract). The RHP to ACA interface supports IPv6 as specified in the field description (Fig. 8, col 7, lines 35 - 50). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the RHP and ACA interface as taught by Flanders et al. into the system of Varghese et al. to reflect a new layer-2 destination address, protocol ID, Address Cache lookup status, destination address masks, and other information for routing (col 1, line 65 to col 2 line 1).

Regarding Claim 26, Varghese et al. discloses wherein the step of rendering a forwarding decision comprises the step of deciding upon an outbound interface from which the packet is to be forwarded (col 3, lines 2-9).

7. Claims 7, 8, 9, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Varghese et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,560,236) in view of Chang (U.S. Patent No. 6,728,249).

Regarding Claim 7, Varghese et al. discloses all the limitations of claim 6 except wherein the FIB includes one or more content addressable memories (CAMs) and/or ternary content addressable memories (TCAMs). Chang discloses wherein a network processor stores LEC (LAN emulation client) up-link information which facilitates mapping of MAC addresses to VCC (Virtual Channel Connection) information. This information is stored in a content addressable memory (CAM) (Fig. 2, element 58) coupled to a packet forwarding subsystem (Fig. 2, element 56 is equivalent to the FIB)

within the network processor (col 3, line 65 to col 4, line 3). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use CAM to store routing information as taught by Chang in the system of Varghese et al. to facilitates the cut-through forwarding process (col 6, lines 58 – 60).

Page 15

Regarding Claim 8, Varghese et al. and Chang disclose all the limitations of claim 7. Furthermore, Chang discloses wherein the one or more CAMs and/or TCAMs stores addresses or address prefixes that have been modified to include site identifiers embedded therein (col 6, lines 61-63; col 8, lines 10 – 32. The CAM stores LEC uplink information which provides mapping of MAC destination addresses to virtual channel connections (VCCs) and vice versa. The MAC destination addresses and VCC are associated with addresses stored in the CAM. Unique MAC and VLAN ID are preregistered into CAM during configuration. The VLAN ID (equivalent to site identifier) which is in the (embedded) packet header is use to determine LEC ID for the packet and with the VCC from the CAM is used for packet forwarding. See col 3, line 43 – 55).

Regarding Claim 9, Varghese et al. and Chang disclose all the limitations of claim 8. Furthermore, Chang discloses wherein at least one of the CAMs and/or TCAMs has a plurality of rows and each row of the CAM and/or TCAM stores a respective address or address prefix (col 6, lines 61-65. The CAM is a lookup table and it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to associate a lookup

table with plurality of rows, each row storing MAC destination addresses, VCC and VLAN ID to facilitate the lookup process).

Regarding Claim 18, Varghese et al. discloses all the limitations of claim 17 except wherein the FIB includes one or more content addressable memories (CAMs) and/or ternary content addressable memories (TCAMs) programmed with a plurality of addresses or address prefixes. Chang discloses wherein a network processor stores LEC (LAN emulation client) up-link information which facilitates mapping of MAC addresses to VCCs (Virtual Channel Connections) information. This information is stored in a content addressable memory (CAM) (Fig. 2, element 58) coupled to a packet forwarding subsystem (Fig. 2, element 56 is equivalent to the FIB) within the network processor (col 3, line 65 to col 4, line 3; col 6, lines 58 - 65). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use CAM to store routing information as taught by Chang in the system of Varghese et al. to facilitates the cut-through forwarding process (col 6, lines 58 – 60).

8. Claim 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Varghese et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,560,236) in view of Chang (U.S. Patent No. 6,728,249) and further in view of Muller et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,938,736).

Regarding Claim 19, Varghese et al. and Chang discloses all the limitations of claim 18 except for wherein at least one CAM and/or TCAM has a width that is equal to

Application/Control Number: 09/964,702 Page 17

Art Unit: 2664

(col 7, lines 6 - 32).

or greater than 128 bits. Muller et al. discloses at least one CAM (Fig. 6 elements 610 and 620) and/or TCAM has a width that is equal to or greater than 128 bits (col 11, lines 51 – 53). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include this feature as taught by Muller et al. in the system of Chang to be able to perform search key formation associated with the CAM with an IP version six (IP V6) class indicating the packet header is associated with an IP V6 packet

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 4, 5, 15, 16, 22, 27, 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U. S. Patent No. 6,014,380 to Hendel et al. relates to a multi-layer distributed network element for relaying packets according to known routing protocols.

Page 18

Art Unit: 2664

U.S. Patent No. 6,661,787 to O'Connell et al. relates to a method of operating a network device in a communication system for the transmission of data packets which include network addresses identifying sources and destinations of data, the network device being capable of both bridging and routing decisions including a forwarding database.

- U.S. Patent No. 6,804, 234 to Chow relates to a multiport switching device which includes an Internal Rules Checker (IRC) that determines forwarding information for packets received at the device.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,633,567 to Brown relates to a method and apparatus for searching a filtering database with a single search operation in a switch using static and dynamic entries in the filtering database.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,445,709 to Chiang relates to a network switch configured for switching data packets across multiple ports using an address table to generate frame forwarding information.
- U.S Patent No. 6,779,043 to Crinion relates to exchanging data over a local area network and more specifically to secure port access to a device on a local area network.

The above prior art are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to network device use to interconnect computers and other devices whereby data packets are transferred based on header information of a packet arriving at a receive port and forwarded to a transmit port using unique forwarding algorithms.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Lin Khoo whose telephone number is 571-272-5508. The examiner can normally be reached on flex time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WELLINGTON CHIN RVISORY PATENT EXAMIN